IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

Date:November 4, 2020		s/Susan D. Wigenton
		(a)
Other:		
teleconferencing capability.		
The Defendant (or the	Juvenile) is detair	ned at a facility lacking video
following reason:		
Teleconferencing, because vid	leo teleconferencia	ng is not reasonably available for the
Video Teleconferencing		
Accordingly, the proceeding(s) held on	this date may be c	conducted by:
to the interests of justice, for the follow	ving specific reasor	ns:
That the proceeding(s) to be he	eld today cannot be	e further delayed without serious harm
with counsel; and		
teleconferencing/teleconferencing to co	nduct the proceedi	ng(s) held today, after consultation
That the Defendant (or the Juve	enile) has consente	ed to the use of video
In accordance with Standing Ord	der 2020-06, this (Court finds:
		SENTENCINGS
ORDER REGARDING USE OF V	***** TIDEO CONFER	ENCING/TELECONFERENCING
	*	
V.	*	
	*	CRIM. NO.
UNITED STATES	*	

United States District Judge

ATTACHMENT A

The Court finds that the guilty plea hearing to be held today cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice, for the following reasons:

- To permit the defendant to obtain a speedy resolution of his case preindictment through an admission of guilt and providing for timely sentencing to afford appropriate punishment and rehabilitation. The defendant has asked for the plea hearing to proceed today via video conferencing.
- 2. To permit the Government to obtain a resolution of the case so that the Government, already operating in a restricted capacity due to the emergency, may appropriately focus its resources on other, emerging criminal matters. The Government has asked for this plea hearing to proceed today via video conferencing.
- 3. To ensure that the Court is not overwhelmed by cases and proceedings at the conclusion of this period of emergency. Currently, District Judges in New Jersey handle a substantially larger docket of cases than Judges in other Districts in the United States. New criminal cases continue to be assigned by the Court during the emergency. If the Court cannot resolve matters by guilty plea and sentencing, the resulting backlog will overwhelm the Court's ability to effectively function. The concern of such congestion and the particular harm that likely will be caused by delays in the processing of cases and matters in the future is particularly acute in this emergency, at least given that: (1) it is unknown when this emergency will subside and when the Court will be able to function at normal capacity (including, among other things, the empanelment of trial juries) and (2) this District's preexisting shortage of District Court Judges which already has challenged the Court's ability to process and resolve cases. This District has six District Judge vacancies: two have been pending for more than five years; one has been pending almost three years; two have been pending for more than a year; and one has been pending almost a year. The Federal Judicial Conference has deemed the District's six vacancies judicial emergencies.